RESEARCH



Inflammatory bowel disease and bladder cancer risk: based on a Mendelian randomization study



Li Wang^{1†}, Jing-ya Deng^{2†}, Kun-peng Li³, Shan-Yin¹ and Ping-yu Zhu^{1*}

Abstract

Background Prior epidemiological observational studies have duly documented a correlative link between inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and bladder cancer (BC); however, the establishment of a definitive causal relationship has remained elusive. The principal objective of this meticulous investigation was to rigorously evaluate the causal nexus between IBD and BC, employing the robust methodology of Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis.

Methods We meticulously performed both univariate and multivariate Mendelian randomization (MVMR) analyses employing publicly accessible genome-wide association study (GWAS) data. The central approach employed for our investigations was inverse variance weighting (IVW) method, while diligently scrutinizing potential sources of heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy via the rigorous utilization of Cochran's Q test, the MR-PRESSO method, and MR-Egger.

Results In the univariate MR analysis, no causal link was observed between genetic prediction of IBD and BC. Furthermore, both Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) showed no causal association with BC. The consistent association between CD and UC in the MVMR analysis supports this finding.

Conclusion This study found no genetic basis for the causative association of IBD and BC. It is crucial to emphasize that further comprehensive investigations are warranted to delve into the intricate underlying mechanisms that may contribute to these associations.

Keywords Mendelian randomization, Bladder cancer, Inflammatory bowel Disease, Crohn's Disease, Ulcerative Colitis

[†]Li Wang and Jing-ya Deng contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence:

Ping-yu Zhu

zhupingyu@nsmc.edu.cn

¹Department of Urology, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College, Nanchong, China

²Department of Neurology, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College, Nanchong, China

³Department of Urology, The Second Hospital of Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China

Introduction

Bladder cancer (BC) is a prevalent global malignancy, ranking as the tenth most common cancer worldwide. Its high recurrence rate contributes to its status as the most expensive cancer to treat over a lifetime [1]. Globally, it ranks thirteenth in both incidence and mortality, with a striking 123.34% increase in cases from 1990 to 2019 [2, 3]. Risk factors include exposure to toxic chemicals and smoking. Given its significant burden, identifying modifiable risk factors to reduce its incidence is crucial.

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), which encompasses ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD),

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

represents a chronic and recurrent inflammatory disorder attributed to aberrations in the immune system within the intestinal mucosa. This intricate interplay leads to the manifestation of extraintestinal symptoms and immune dysfunction [4]. Of grave concern is the escalating prevalence and incidence of IBD, casting a global shadow over public health, affecting over 1.5 million individuals in North America and exceeding 2 million in Europe [5]. Notably, inflammation assumes a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of tumorigenesis, bestowing upon those afflicted with IBD an augmented susceptibility to the development of both intestinal and extraintestinal neoplasms [6, 7]. Given the anatomical adjacency of the bowel and bladder, insightful epidemiological studies have endeavored to elucidate the potential association between IBD and the risk of bladder cancer. Regrettably, the findings have yielded a landscape of incongruous outcomes [8, 9].

Traditional observational studies are susceptible to biases from confounding factors and reverse causality. Mendelian randomization (MR) provides a quasirandomized controlled trial (RCT) design, using single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) as exposure indicators to establish causal associations and genetic variation as instrumental variables (IVs) to reduce confounding [10]. We employed univariate and multivariate Mendelian randomization (MVMR) using summary statistics from genome-wide association studies to investigate causal links between IBD and BC.

Methods

The study strictly followed the guidelines outlined in the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology Mendelian randomization (STROBE-MR) framework [11]. MR relies on three essential assumptions: IVs demonstrate strong correlation with exposure factors, remain unaffected by confounding variables, and impact outcomes solely through the exposure under investigation [12]. Our study employed a two-sample MR and MVMR approach, adhering to these assumptions and the methods delineated in Fig. 1.

Data sources

Specific details regarding the genetic datasets utilized in this study can be found in Table 1. The GWAS dataset for European ancestry individuals with IBD, encompassing both CD and UC, was obtained from the International Inflammatory Bowel Disease Genetics Consortium (IIBDGC). The IBD dataset comprised 31,665 cases and 33,977 controls, with UC accounting for 13,768 cases and CD accounting for 17,897 cases, all of which were matched with 33,977 controls [13]. The diagnostic criteria for this disease type depend on established endoscopic, imaging, and histological pathology standards [14].



Fig. 1 Study flow chart

Page 3	of	8
--------	----	---

Exposure or outcome	Study or consortium	Participants(case/control)	Ethnicity	PubMed ID or web source
Inflammatory bowel disease	IIBDGC	31,665/33,977	European	26,192,919
Crohn's disease	IIBDGC	17,897/33,977	European	26,192,919
Ulcerative colitis	IIBDGC	13,768/33,977	European	26,192,919
Bladder cancer	United Kingdom Biobank	2,576/ 417,955	European	https://pan-ukb-us-east-1.s3.amazonaws.com/sum- stats_flat_files/icd10-C67-both_sexes.tsv.bgz
Bladder cancer	FinnGen	2,053/287,137	European	https://storage.googleapis.com/finngen-public-data-r9/ summary_stats/finngen_R9_C3_BLADDER_EXALLC.gz

Table 1 Details of studies and datasets used for analyses

IIBDGC: International Inflammatory Bowel Disease Genetics Consortium

The GWAS data from the United Kingdom Biobank (UKBB) served as the training set for bladder cancer (2,576 cases and 417,955 controls) (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/). Bladder cancer cases were identified using the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9 and ICD-10) codes. Additionally, to reduce bias caused by sample overlap, the validation set consisted of GWAS data from the FinnGen database (R9 version) with 2,053 cases and 287,137 controls (https://r9.finngen.fi/). Logistic regression calculations were performed to adjust for genetic effect sizes, as well as age, sex, and genetic principal components, within the Finnish population.

Selection of instrumental variables

To ensure the stability of the causal relationship between IBD, including UC and CD, and BC, IVs were selected based on the following principles: [1] Significant SNPs with genetic effects on IBD (UC or CD) were chosen as IVs $(p < 10^{-8})$ [2]. Cluster analysis was conducted to address linkage disequilibrium (LD) among the selected IVs ($r^2 < 0.001$, kb=10,000) [3]. To mitigate bias from weak IVs, the strength of the IVs was quantified using the F value (β^2 /SE), with those having F<10 being excluded. Here, β represents the effect size of exposure and SE represents the standard error of the effect size [4]. The identified SNPs were cross-referenced with the Phenoscanner database to account for potential confounders such as smoking. However, due to the presence of shared genes between CD and UC, MVMR was performed to mitigate any mutual bias caused by this overlap.

MR analysis

To obtain preliminary causal estimates, a comprehensive two-sample MR analysis was conducted. The primary analysis employed the robust inverse-variance weighted (IVW) method [15]. In addition, we employed the weighted median, MR-Egger regression, and weighted mode methods as validation approaches. The weighted median method, known for its reliability, yielded dependable results by leveraging a powerful instrumental variable with a weight of 50% [16]. To address potential directional pleiotropy, MR-Egger regression and weighted mode methods were employed [17, 18]. To assess the independent effects of traits associated with UC and CD, we conducted MVMR analyses. We employed IVW and LASSO regression methods to evaluate the MVMR results. in addition, potential inverse associations were avoided by the Setiger test [19]. The statistical power calculations were performed using the mRnd website (https://shiny.cnsgenomics.com/mRnd/) [20].

Sensitivity analysis assumes a vital role in the assessment of heterogeneity and potential biases within MR studies. Firstly, heterogeneity was evaluated through the application of Cochran's Q test, which involved calculating the weighted sum of squared differences between specific variability estimates and the overall IVW estimate [21]. To address potential outliers, the MR Pleiotropy RESidual Sum and Outlier (MR-PRESSO) method was employed during data analysis [22]. Furthermore, MR-Egger regression was utilized, and intercepts were assessed to identify potential horizontal pleiotropy. The leave-one-out method was implemented to mitigate the impact of individual SNPs causing horizontal pleiotropy.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analyses were conducted using the "TwoSampleMR" and "MRPRESSO" packages in R version 4.2.2. For visual representation, the "forest plot" package was employed. The association IBD and BC in the MR analysis was quantified using odds ratios (OR) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). To account for multiple testing between IBD (UC and CD) and BC, a significance level of p<0.025 (0.05/2 databases) was applied after Bonferroni correction. Results with $0.025 \le p < 0.05$ were considered suggestive of significance.

Results

Selection of genetic variants

First analyzed in the training group, the bladder cancer dataset from UK Biobank, after harmonization and removal of palindromic SNPs with intermediate allele frequencies, we further eliminated confounding SNPs (rs181826, rs3184504, rs6062496, rs13407913) using the Phenoscanner database. In addition, outliers are detected and removed by MR–PRESSO. Subsequently, we identified 126 SNPs as potential instrumental variables (IVs) for IBD, with 113 SNPs specific to CD and 84 SNPs specific to UC. Additional file 1 provides detailed information on these SNPs. When using the FinnGen dataset validation, we identified 124 SNPs as potential instrumental variables (IVs) for IBD, with 114 SNPs specific to CD and 76 SNPs specific to UC (Additional file 2). Importantly, all the included SNPs had F-values exceeding 10, indicating a minimal likelihood of weak IVs bias. The results of power analyses were shown in Table 2.

A causal association between IBD and BC

In the test group, MR results from UKBB showed no causal link IBD (CD and UC) and BC (For IBD, IVW OR 0.99, 95% CI 0.94 to 1.06, p=0.95; For CD, IVW OR 1.01, 95%CI 0.97 to 1.06, p=0.58; For UC, IVW OR 0.99, 95% CI 0.93 to 1.04, p=0.64) (Fig. 2A). In addition, MR results from the FinnGen validation group showed no causal association between IBD (CD and UC) and BC (For IBD, IVW OR 1.01, 95% CI 0.95 to 1.07, p=0.77; For CD, IVW OR 1.01, 95%CI 0.95 to 1.06, p=0.82; For UC, IVW OR 1.01, 95% CI 0.94 to 1.07, p=0.91). and the MR-Egger, the Weighted Median, and the Weighted mode showed consistent results (Fig. 2B). Considering the interrelated nature of CD and UC, a multivariate Mendelian randomization (MVMR) analysis was conducted to assess the individual impact of both diseases on BC. After adjusting for each other using IVW, the results indicated no causal relationship between IBD and BC. The reliability of these findings was further supported by LASSO regression analysis (Table 3).

Pleiotropic and heterogeneity analysis

In UKB consortium data, The MR-Egger method suggested that there was no evidence of horizontal pleiotropy in IBD (egger intercept = -0.004, p=0.5), CD (egger intercept = -0.002, p=0.74), UC (egger intercept = -0.006, p=0.43). In the FinnGen validation dataset, horizontal pleiotropy was also not detected (Table 4). Based on the results of MR-PRESSO, the outlier instrumental variables

 Table 2
 Multivariable MR analysis between IBD and BC

have been removed (global P > 0.05). The Cochran's Q test revealed no significant heterogeneity among the selected genetic instruments (P > 0.05), allowing us to utilize a fixed effects model to estimate the effect size in the Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis. The robustness and stability of the results were further confirmed through the implementation of leave-one-out analysis and the visualization of forest plots (Additional file 3). These findings indicate the reliability and consistency of the current MR analysis.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study represents a pioneering investigation into the potential causal relationship between IBD and its subtypes with regards to BC using pooled data from GWAS. Through the rigorous application of MR analysis, our findings do not provide potential evidence supporting a causal association between genetically predicted IBD and its subtypes, and the risk of BC.

Two distinct diseases within the same individual may stem from shared environmental or genetic factors. The mechanisms underlying inflammation-related carcinogenesis primarily involve the induction of epigenetic alterations and DNA damage [23]. IBD is known to be associated with long-term complications, including the development of gastrointestinal and extraintestinal tumors. Previous epidemiological studies have established a strong link between IBD and an elevated risk of various cancers (e.g., hematological, lung and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma) [24]. However, the definitive evidence supporting an association between IBD, and BC risk remains inconclusive.

Kappelman et al. [25] conducted a comprehensive study with a follow-up period spanning over 30 years and reported a slight increase in the risk of BC among patients with CD (standardized incidence rate [SIR]: 1.1; 95% CI: 0.8 to 1.6). Furthermore, it has been observed that individuals with IBD, including CD, are at a higher risk of developing malignancies related to smoking. In a study by Madanchi et al. [26], which examined the incidence of malignancies in IBD centers over a 7-year period, a higher incidence of bladder cancer was

Exposure	Outcome	No. of SNPs	IVW			LASSO reg	LASSO regression		
			Beta	SE	Pvalue	Beta	SE	Pvalue	
Training set	UKBB								
CD	BC	137	0.04	0.03	0.27	0.02	0.03	0.39	
UC			-0.05	0.04	0.24	-0.02	0.04	0.55	
Validation set	FinnGen								
CD	BC	132	-0.05	0.04	0.22	-0.03	0.04	0.32	
UC			0.09	0.05	0.04	0.09	0.05	0.03	

UC: ulcerative colitis; CD: Crohn's disease; IVW: inverse variance weighted; UKBB: United Kingdom Biobank

A						
	Exposure	No.of SNPs	Method		OR(95% CI)	Pvalue
	IBD	126	IVW	H-4-1	0.99 (0.94 to 1.06)	0.95
			MR Egger	• • • •	1.03 (0.91 to 1.18)	0.57
			Weighted median	⊢	1.03 (0.94 to 1.12)	0.51
			Weighted mode		1.06 (0.94 to 1.19)	0.33
	CD	113	IVW	H-H-H	1.01 (0.97 to 1.06)	0.58
			MR Egger		1.03 (0.91 to 1.18)	0.61
			Weighted median	⊢	1.02 (0.95 to 1.10)	0.57
			Weighted mode	⊢	1.02 (0.92 to 1.14)	0.70
	UC	84	IVW		0.99 (0.93 to 1.04)	0.64
			MR Egger		1.04 (0.91 to 1.19)	0.61
			Weighted median		0.99 (0.92 to 1.09)	0.98
			Weighted mode		1.01 (0.89 to 1.13)	0.99
р			C	0.8 0.9 1 1.1 1.2 1	.3	
B	Exposure	No.of SNPs	() Method	0.8 0.9 1 1.1 1.2 1	.3 OR(95% CI)	Pvalue
B	Exposure IBD	No.of SNPs 124	Method IVW).8 0.9 1 1.1 1.2 1	.3 OR(95% CI) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.07)	Pvalue 0.77
B	Exposure IBD	No.of SNPs 124	Method IVW MR Egger	0.8 0.9 1 1.1 1.2 1	3 OR(95% CI) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.07) 1.08 (0.93 to 1.26)	Pvalue 0.77 0.30
B	Exposure IBD	No.of SNPs 124	Method IVW MR Egger Weighted median		3 OR(95% CI) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.07) 1.08 (0.93 to 1.26) 0.97 (0.88 to 1.06)	Pvalue 0.77 0.30 0.50
B	Exposure IBD	No.of SNPs 124	Method IVW MR Egger Weighted median Weighted mode		3 OR(95% CI) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.07) 1.08 (0.93 to 1.26) 0.97 (0.88 to 1.06) 0.96 (0.82 to 1.13)	Pvalue 0.77 0.30 0.50 0.65
B	Exposure IBD CD	No.of SNPs 124 114	Method IVW MR Egger Weighted median Weighted mode IVW		3 OR(95% CI) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.07) 1.08 (0.93 to 1.26) 0.97 (0.88 to 1.06) 0.96 (0.82 to 1.13) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.06)	Pvalue 0.77 0.30 0.50 0.65 0.82
B	Exposure IBD CD	No.of SNPs 124 114	Method IVW MR Egger Weighted median Weighted mode IVW MR Egger		3 OR(95% CI) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.07) 1.08 (0.93 to 1.26) 0.97 (0.88 to 1.06) 0.96 (0.82 to 1.13) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.06) 1.05 (0.91 to 1.22)	Pvalue 0.77 0.30 0.50 0.65 0.82 0.49
B	Exposure IBD CD	No.of SNPs 124 114	Method IVW MR Egger Weighted median Weighted mode IVW MR Egger Weighted median		3 OR(95% CI) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.07) 1.08 (0.93 to 1.26) 0.97 (0.88 to 1.06) 0.96 (0.82 to 1.13) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.06) 1.05 (0.91 to 1.22) 0.99 (0.91 to 1.07)	Pvalue 0.77 0.30 0.50 0.65 0.82 0.49 0.76
B	Exposure IBD CD	No.of SNPs 124 114	Method IVW MR Egger Weighted median Weighted mode IVW MR Egger Weighted median Weighted mode		3 OR(95% CI) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.07) 1.08 (0.93 to 1.26) 0.97 (0.88 to 1.06) 0.96 (0.82 to 1.13) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.06) 1.05 (0.91 to 1.22) 0.99 (0.91 to 1.07) 0.96 (0.85 to 1.09)	Pvalue 0.77 0.30 0.50 0.65 0.82 0.49 0.76 0.52
В	Exposure IBD CD	No.of SNPs 124 114 76	Method MEthod IVW MR Egger Weighted median IVW MR Egger MR Egger Weighted median Weighted median	0.8 0.9 1 1.1 1.2 1	3 OR(95% CI) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.07) 1.08 (0.93 to 1.26) 0.97 (0.88 to 1.06) 0.96 (0.82 to 1.13) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.06) 1.05 (0.91 to 1.22) 0.99 (0.91 to 1.07) 0.96 (0.85 to 1.09) 1.01 (0.94 to 1.07)	Pvalue 0.77 0.30 0.50 0.65 0.82 0.49 0.76 0.52 0.91
В	Exposure IBD CD UC	No.of SNPs 124 114 76	Method NCW MR Egger Weighted median Weighted mode IVW MR Egger Weighted median Weighted mode IVW MR Egger		3 OR(95% CI) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.07) 1.08 (0.93 to 1.26) 0.97 (0.88 to 1.06) 0.96 (0.82 to 1.13) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.06) 1.05 (0.91 to 1.22) 0.99 (0.91 to 1.07) 0.96 (0.85 to 1.09) 1.01 (0.94 to 1.07) 0.98 (0.83 to 1.15)	Pvalue 0.77 0.30 0.50 0.65 0.82 0.49 0.76 0.52 0.91 0.78
B	Exposure IBD CD UC	No.of SNPs 124 114 76	Method IVW MR Egger Weighted median Weighted mode IVW MR Egger Weighted mode IVW MR Egger MR Egger MR Egger		.3 OR(95% CI) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.07) 1.08 (0.93 to 1.26) 0.97 (0.88 to 1.06) 0.96 (0.82 to 1.13) 1.01 (0.95 to 1.06) 1.05 (0.91 to 1.22) 0.99 (0.91 to 1.07) 0.96 (0.85 to 1.09) 1.01 (0.94 to 1.07) 0.98 (0.83 to 1.15) 0.96 (0.87 to 1.06)	Pvalue 0.77 0.30 0.50 0.65 0.49 0.76 0.52 0.91 0.78 0.38

Fig. 2 Results of the methods of MR analysis conducted to examine the relationship between IBD and BC. (A) UKBB test Group (B) FinnGen validation group

0.8 0.9 1 1.1 1.2 1.3

 Table 3
 Sensitivity analysis of the causal association between IBD and BC

Exposure	Outcome		Heterogeneity		Horizontal	pleiotropy	1	
			Cochran Q statistic		MR-Egger		MR–PRESSO (Outlier-corrected)	
		IVW Q	IVW <i>I</i> ²	IVW P [#]	Egger intercept	P [#] value	Outlier	P [*] for glob- al test
Training set	UKBB							
IBD	BC	147.9	15.48	0.08	-0.004	0.5	"rs7608910","rs1569328"	0.07
CD		131.2	14.6	0.1	-0.002	0.74	"rs1569328","rs7608910"	0.11
UC		90.3	8.11	0.27	-0.006	0.43	0	0.26
Validation set	Finngen							
IBD	BC	131.4	6.45	0.28	-0.008	0.3	0	0.27
CD		119.8	5.74	0.31	-0.006	0.51	0	0.3
UC		71.1	0	0.6	0.003	0.72	"rs12132349","rs36070529","rs4795397","rs9271255"	0.6

* MR analysis using IVW method after removing outliers identified by MR-PRESSO method

Heterogeneity test after removing outliers

IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; CD, Crohn's disease; UC, ulcerative colitis; BC, bladder cancer; IVW, inverse variance weighted; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; SE, standard error

Table 4 Power	calculation	for all the MR	analysis in	current study
---------------	-------------	----------------	-------------	---------------

Exposure	Outcome	No. of SNPs	Proportion of vari- ance explained by the SNPs on	Power (%)
Training set	LIKBB		exposure	
IBD	BC	126	0.59	17%
CD		113	0.76	16%
UC		84	0.53	11%
Validation set	FinnGen			
IBD	BC	124	0.69	20%
CD		114	0.75	15%
UC		76	0.45	10%

noted in IBD patients compared to those without IBD (21.7/100,000). However, Algaba et al. [27], in a cohort study, did not find a significant overall increase in the risk of cancer among individuals with IBD, although the risk of bladder cancer remained elevated (relative risk [RR]: 5.23; 95% CI: 1.95–13.87). Conversely, Pedersen et al. [8] observed no difference in the risk of bladder cancer between patients with IBD and the general population (SIR: 0.99; 95% CI: 0.63–1.54).

MR did not reveal a potential causal association between IBD and its subtypes and BC. The inconsistent results obtained in previous observational studies can be explained in several ways. Firstly, inflammation has a synergistic effect with bladder carcinogenesis, with IBD-related inflammation accumulating in the rectum and surrounding organs (prostate, bladder), and the oxidative stress process of chronic inflammation is one of the potential risk factors promoting bladder carcinogenesis [28]. In a recent study in the field of information biology [29], notable findings have emerged, unveiling a substantial upregulation of Aurora kinases B, Cyclindependent kinases-1, and Cyclin A2 expression levels within the bladder tissues of patients afflicted by bladder cancer (BC) and the intestinal tissues of those suffering from inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). it suggests that the heightened activity within the cell cycle pathway may potentially serve as a catalyst for the advancement of immune responses in the context of both these debilitating conditions, thereby intricately interweaving the trajectories of BC and IBD. Furthermore, pertinent immunological analyses have elucidated the plausible involvement of three distinct genes in the orchestration of immune homeostasis, governing the intricate dynamics of inflammatory cell infiltration [29, 30]. Secondly, alterations in the intestinal flora are common in patients with IBD, and changes in the microbiological environment have been shown to be associated with cancer development [31]. Additionally, smoking is a significant causative factor in BC. Interestingly, smoking is believed to increase the risk of developing CD while improving the course of UC [32, 33].

Moreover, it is worth noting that immunosuppression, a common treatment approach for IBD, has been associated with an elevated overall risk of cancer. A retrospective study revealed a substantial threefold increase in the risk of urothelial cancer among IBD patients who were exposed to thiopurines, a class of immunosuppressive medications [34]. Immunosuppression promotes the proliferation of both EBV and HPV-infected cells, and Sun et al. [35] revealed a causal link between HPV and bladder cancer through MR analysis. Of course, future attention needs to be paid to the effect of drug dose, type, and duration of use on tumors.

Strength and limitation

Our MR analysis has the following advantages. Firstly, it represents the first large-scale utilization of GWAS data to infer the causal relationship between IBD and bladder cancer, thus reducing confounding factors and reverse bias. Secondly, the study population comprised individuals of European origin, minimizing population stratification interference. Lastly, sensitivity analyses and diverse model estimates were employed to ensure result reliability. However, certain limitations cannot be avoided. Firstly, the findings require validation in other populations. Secondly, the course of IBD is unpredictable, characterized by alternating relapses and remissions, and patient medication usage remains unknown. Finally, future investigations with larger sample sizes and precise stratified analyses are necessary to confirm the underlying physiopathological mechanisms.

Conclusion

In summary, this study found no genetic basis for the causative association of IBD and BC, it is essential to emphasize the need for further investigation and confirmation of this potential association in future research.

Abbreviations

IBD	Inflammatory bowel disease
BC	Bladder cancer
MR	Mendelian randomization
MVMR	Multivariate Mendelian randomization
GWAS	Genome-wide association study
IVW	Inverse variance weighting
CD	Crohn's disease
UC	Ulcerative colitis
RCT	Randomized controlled trial
SNPs	Single nucleotide polymorphisms
IVs	Instrumental variables
STROBE-MR	Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in
	Epidemiology Mendelian randomization
IIBDGC	International Inflammatory Bowel Disease Genetics Consortium
UKBB	United Kingdom Biobank
ICD	International Classification of Diseases
LD	Linkage disequilibrium
MR-PRESSO	MR Pleiotropy RESidual Sum and Outlier
OR	Odds ratios

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12894-023-01346-y.

Additional file 1. Associations of single nucleotide polymorphisms for Inflammatory Bowel Disease in UK biobank.

Additional file 2. Associations of single nucleotide polymorphisms for Inflammatory Bowel Disease in FinnGen.

Additional file 3. **Figure S1**. Scatter plot (A), funnel plot (B), leave-one-out sensitivity analysis (C), forest plot (D) of the causal effect of IBD on BC risk based on UKBB. **Figure S2**. Scatter plot (A), funnel plot (B), leave-one-out sensitivity analysis (C), forest plot (D) of the causal effect of CD on BC risk based on UKBB. **Figure S3**. Scatter plot (A), funnel plot (B), leave-one-out sensitivity analysis (C), forest plot (D) of the causal effect of UC on BC risk based on UKBB. **Figure S4**. Scatter plot (A), funnel plot (B), leave-one-out sensitivity analysis (C), forest plot (D) of the causal effect of UC on BC risk based on FinnGen. **Figure S5**. Scatter plot (A), funnel plot (B), leave-one-out sensitivity analysis (C), forest plot (D) of the causal effect of CD on BC risk based on FinnGen. **Figure S6**. Scatter plot (A), funnel plot (B), leave-one-out sensitivity analysis (C), forest plot (D) of the causal effect of UC on BC risk based on FinnGen. **Figure S6**. Scatter plot (A), funnel plot (B), leave-one-out sensitivity analysis (C), forest plot (D) of the causal effect of UC on BC risk based on FinnGen. **Figure S6**. Scatter plot (A), funnel plot (B), leave-one-out sensitivity analysis (C), forest plot (D) of the causal effect of UC on BC risk based on FinnGen. **Figure S6**. Scatter plot (A), funnel plot (B), leave-one-out sensitivity analysis (C), forest plot (D) of the causal effect of UC on BC risk based on FinnGen.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the consortium that provided all the public GWAS data.

Author contributions

Methodology: JY; data acquisition: KP and SY; software and formal analysis: PY and LW; writing and editing: LW; data curation and supervision: PY.

Funding

Not applicable.

Data Availability

The datasets analyzed in this study are from the following datasets: (https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/), (r9.finngen.fi) and (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/), See Table 1 for more details.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 28 June 2023 / Accepted: 20 October 2023 Published online: 27 November 2023

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209–49.
- Antoni S, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Znaor A, Jemal A, Bray F. Bladder Cancer incidence and mortality: A global overview and recent trends. Eur Urol. 2017;71(1):96–108.
- Zi H, He SH, Leng XY, Xu XF, Huang Q, Weng H, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of kidney, bladder, and prostate cancers and their attributable risk factors, 1990–2019. Military Med Res. 2021;8(1):60.
- Rogler G, Singh A, Kavanaugh A, Rubin DT. Extraintestinal manifestations of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: current concepts, treatment, and implications for Disease Management. Gastroenterology. 2021;161(4):1118–32.

- Ng SC, Shi HY, Hamidi N, Underwood FE, Tang W, Benchimol EI, et al. Worldwide incidence and prevalence of inflammatory bowel Disease in the 21st century: a systematic review of population-based studies. Lancet (London England). 2017;390(10114):2769–78.
- Derikx LA, Smits LJ, van Vliet S, Dekker E, Aalfs CM, van Kouwen MC, et al. Colorectal Cancer risk in patients with Lynch Syndrome and Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatology: Official Clin Pract J Am Gastroenterological Association. 2017;15(3):454–8e1.
- Singh S, Nagpal SJ, Murad MH, Yadav S, Kane SV, Pardi DS, et al. Inflammatory bowel Disease is associated with an increased risk of Melanoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatology: Official Clin Pract J Am Gastroenterological Association. 2014;12(2):210–8.
- Pedersen N, Duricova D, Elkjaer M, Gamborg M, Munkholm P, Jess T. Risk of extra-intestinal cancer in inflammatory bowel Disease: meta-analysis of population-based cohort studies. Am J Gastroenterol. 2010;105(7):1480–7.
- Mosher CA, Brown GR, Weideman RA, Crook TW, Cipher DJ, Spechler SJ, et al. Incidence of Colorectal Cancer and Extracolonic cancers in veteran patients with inflammatory bowel Disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2018;24(3):617–23.
- 10. Emdin CA, Khera AV, Kathiresan S. Mendelian Randomization Jama. 2017;318(19):1925–6.
- Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, Yarmolinsky J, Davies NM, Swanson SA, et al. Strengthening the reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology using mendelian randomization: the STROBE-MR Statement. JAMA. 2021;326(16):1614–21.
- Burgess S, Scott RA, Timpson NJ, Davey Smith G, Thompson SG. Using published data in mendelian randomization: a blueprint for efficient identification of causal risk factors. Eur J Epidemiol. 2015;30(7):543–52.
- Liu JZ, van Sommeren S, Huang H, Ng SC, Alberts R, Takahashi A, et al. Association analyses identify 38 susceptibility loci for inflammatory bowel Disease and highlight shared genetic risk across populations. Nat Genet. 2015;47(9):979–86.
- Lamb CA, Kennedy NA, Raine T, Hendy PA, Smith PJ, Limdi JK, et al. British Society of Gastroenterology consensus guidelines on the management of inflammatory bowel Disease in adults. Gut. 2019;68(Suppl 3):1–s106.
- Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. Genet Epidemiol. 2013;37(7):658–65.
- Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in mendelian randomization with some Invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. Genet Epidemiol. 2016;40(4):304–14.
- Hartwig FP, Davey Smith G, Bowden J. Robust inference in summary data mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. Int J Epidemiol. 2017;46(6):1985–98.
- Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. Int J Epidemiol. 2015;44(2):512–25.
- Hemani G, Tilling K, Davey Smith G. Orienting the causal relationship between imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data. PLoS Genet. 2017;13(11):e1007081.
- Brion MJ, Shakhbazov K, Visscher PM. Calculating statistical power in mendelian randomization studies. Int J Epidemiol. 2013;42(5):1497–501.
- Burgess S, Bowden J, Fall T, Ingelsson E, Thompson SG. Sensitivity analyses for robust causal inference from mendelian randomization analyses with multiple genetic variants. Epidemiol (Cambridge Mass). 2017;28(1):30–42.
- 22. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from mendelian randomization between complex traits and Diseases. Nat Genet. 2018;50(5):693–8.
- 23. Coussens LM, Werb ZJN. Inflamm cancer. 2002;420(6917):860-7.
- van den Heuvel TR, Wintjens DS, Jeuring SF, Wassink MH, Romberg-Camps MJ, Oostenbrug LE, et al. Inflammatory bowel Disease, cancer and medication: Cancer risk in the Dutch population-based. IBDSL Cohort. 2016;139(6):1270–80.
- Kappelman MD, Farkas DK, Long MD, Erichsen R, Sandler RS, Sørensen HT, et al. Risk of cancer in patients with inflammatory bowel Diseases: a nationwide population-based cohort study with 30 years of follow-up evaluation. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatology: Official Clin Pract J Am Gastroenterological Association. 2014;12(2):265–73e1.
- Madanchi M, Zeitz J, Barthel C, Samaras P, Scharl S, Sulz MC, et al. Malignancies in patients with inflammatory bowel Disease: a single-centre experience. Digestion. 2016;94(1):1–8.
- 27. Algaba A, Guerra I, Castaño A, de la Poza G, Castellano VM, López M, et al. Risk of cancer, with special reference to extra-intestinal malignancies,

in patients with inflammatory bowel Disease. World J Gastroenterol. 2013;19(48):9359–65.

- Nesi G, Nobili S, Cai T, Caini S, Santi R. Chronic inflammation in urothelial Bladder cancer. Virchows Archiv: An International Journal of Pathology. 2015;467(6):623–33.
- 29. Liu J, Wu P, Lai S, Wang J, Wang J, Zhang Y. Identifying possible hub genes and biological mechanisms shared between Bladder cancer and inflammatory bowel Disease using machine learning and integrated bioinformatics. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2023.
- Heo J, Lee J, Nam YJ, Kim Y, Yun H, Lee S, et al. The CDK1/TFCP2L1/ID2 cascade offers a novel combination therapy strategy in a preclinical model of Bladder cancer. Exp Mol Med. 2022;54(6):801–11.
- Ma W, Zhang W, Shen L, Liu J, Yang F, Maskey N et al. Can smoking cause differences in urine microbiome in male patients with Bladder cancer? A retrospective study. 2021;11:677605.
- Wang P, Hu J, Ghadermarzi S, Raza A, O'Connell D, Xiao A, et al. Smoking and inflammatory bowel Disease: a comparison of China, India, and the USA. Dig Dis Sci. 2018;63(10):2703–13.

- Parkes GC, Whelan K, Lindsay JO. Smoking in inflammatory bowel Disease: impact on Disease course and insights into the aetiology of its effect. J Crohn's Colitis. 2014;8(8):717–25.
- Bourrier A, Carrat F, Colombel JF, Bouvier AM, Abitbol V, Marteau P, et al. Excess risk of urinary tract cancers in patients receiving thiopurines for inflammatory bowel Disease: a prospective observational cohort study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2016;43(2):252–61.
- Sun JX, Xu JZ, Liu CQ, An Y, Xu MY, Zhong XY, et al. The association between human papillomavirus and Bladder cancer: evidence from meta-analysis and two-sample mendelian randomization. J Med Virol. 2023;95(1):e28208.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.