# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# Predictive value of SIRI and SII for metastases in RCC: a prospective clinical study



Emre Arı<sup>1</sup>, Hikmet Köseoğlu<sup>1\*</sup> and Tolga Eroğlu<sup>1</sup>

# Abstract

**Objectives** In this prospective cross-sectional clinical study, we aimed to determine the efficiency of preoperative hematological markers namely SIRI (systemic inflammatory response index) and SII (systemic inflammatory index) for renal cell cancer to predict the possibility of postoperative metastases.

**Methods** Istanbul Education and Research Hospital, Clinic of Urology and Medical Oncology in the clinic between the dates of June 2022 to 2023 February, a diagnosis of renal cell cancer by surgical or medical oncology units imported into the treatment planning of 72 patients were included in the study. All cases with diagnoses of renal cell carcinoma were searched from hospital records. Patients with secondary malignancy, hematological or rheumatological disorders or ones with recent blood product transfusion or diagnoses of infection within the 1-month-time of diagnoses were excluded for data analyses. The data within complete blood counts (CBC) analyzed just before the time of renal biopsy or surgery were studied for SIRI and SII calculations. Twenty-two metastatic and 50 non-metastatic RCC patients were included. SIRI and SII values were compared among groups to seek change of values in case of metastasis and in non-metastatic patients a cut-off value were sought to indicate malignancy before pathological diagnosis.

**Results** Mean age of non-metastatic RCC patients were 60.12+/-11.55 years and metastatic RCC patients were 60.25+/-11.72. Histological sub-types of the RCC specimens were clear cell (72%), chromophobe cell (17%), papillary cell (7%) and others (4%). Median SIRI values for non-metastatic and metastatic groups were 1.26 and 2.1 (mean+/-S.D. 1.76 +/-1.9 and 3.12+/-4.22 respectively (p < 0.05). Median SII values for non-metastatic and metastatic and metastatic groups were 566 and 1434 (mean+/-S.D. 870 +/-1019 and 1537+/-917) respectively (p < 0.001). AUC for detection of metastasis were 0.809 for SII and 0.737 for SIRI.

**Conclusions** SIRI and SII indexes seem to show a moderate efficiency to show metastases in RCC.

Keywords Renal cell carcinoma, SIRI, SII, Metastasis

\*Correspondence: Hikmet Köseoğlu hikmet.koseoglu@gmail.com <sup>1</sup>Hamidiye Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul Health Practice and Research Center, Department of Urology, Health Sciences University, Istanbul, Turkev



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

## Introduction

Renal cell cancer (RCC) accounts approximately 2% of global cancer diagnoses worldwide [1]. RCCs have recently been re-classified pathologically with moleculardriven criteria as well as cytoplasmic feature-based diagnoses [2]. RCC has survival from 40 to 91% according to various subtypes when non-metastatic [3]. However, these rates decreases significantly less than 20% in case of distant metastases [4].

Upon growing evidence on carcinogenesis, tumor-promoting inflammation as well as genomic instability and mutability have been suggested to be enabling characteristics of cancer [5]. Inflammatory cells have been shown to accelerate tumoral genetic evolution towards malignancy via actively mutagenic reactive oxygen species [6]. As well, inflammation have been suggested to produce molecules including growth factors, proangiogenic factors, extracellular matrix-modifying enzymes within tumoral microenvironment, thereby facilitate angiogenesis, invasion, and metastasis [7, 8].

Systemic Inflammatory Response Index (SIRI) and systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) are markers of such inflammatory tumor-supportive microenvironment. SIRI includes the counts of neutrophils, monocytes and lymphocytes with the formulation of [monocyte count x neutrophil count / lymphocyte count]. SII includes the counts of lymphocyte, neutrophil and platelet with the formulation of [ platelet count x neutrophil count / lymphocyte count] [9]. SII has been suggested to be an independent predictor of overall survival and cancer-spesific survival of patients with non-metastatic RCC [10]. Else, both SII and SIRI has been associated with advanced stages and larger tumors in localized renal cancers [11].

In this study, we aimed to evaluate predictive value of SIRI and SII for metastases in RCC.

# **Materials and methods**

Seventy-two patients who were diagnosed with RCC and underwent surgery in Urology Clinic and Medical Oncology Clinic of Istanbul Training and Research Hospital between July 2022 and January 2023 or were included in the treatment planning in the medical oncolgy unit were included in the study. Male and female patients older than 18 years of age who had preoperative laboratory tests and inflammatory indices could be calculated were included in the study. Information related to patients was obtained from patients' medical records at the hospital system.

Patients were diagnosed with renal cell carcinoma through surgery or biopsy. The diagnoses of metastases of patients was determined by lymph node dissection or FDG-PET imaging. 51 of the patients were male and 21 were female. Twenty-two of the patients had metastatic RCC. 50 patients had non-metastatic RCC.

Patients older than 18 years of age, who underwent radical or partial nephrectomy due to kidney tumor and were diagnosed with RCC in their pathology, who did not undergo surgery but were diagnosed with RCC in their pathology by biopsy, and whose hematological parameter studies were performed in the last 1 week were included in this study.

Patients with another malignancy, patients who were diagnosed with or suspected infection within 1 week before admission, patients who received steroid therapy or immunosuppressive therapy at the time of admission, patients with known autoimmune disease, and patients who received blood transfusion within the last 1 month were excluded from the study.

Laboratory results and histopathological findings, tumor stages and grades of the patients of the patients included in the study were recorded. The metastatic and non-metastatic groups were compared with each other by confirming the metastasis status by imaging methods of the patients whose histopathological findings were recorded. Using the laboratory results of these two groups, inflammatory indices such as SIRI and SII were calculated and their effectiveness in terms of metastasis were compared. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS statistics software (IBM Corp. Released 2011. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Comparisons of groups were done with Chisquare test and Student's t test where appropriate. The mean values were presented with their 95% Confidence intervals. Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) was used to illustrate related sensitivity and specificity of ADC values. Statistical significance was set at less than 0.05. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. All experimental protocols were approved by university ethics committee. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects and/or their legal guardian.

# Results

A total of 72 patients who met the exclusion and inclusion criteria during the study period were included in the study as stated in the methods. Twenty (28%) of the patients were female patients and the remaining 52 (72%) were male patients. The mean age of the patients was  $60.25\pm11.72$  years. The mean age for women was  $62.35\pm13.84$  years, and the mean age for men was  $59.44\pm10.84$  (p=0.349). The mean age of metastatic patients was  $60.60\pm12.46$  years, while the mean age of non-metastatic patients was  $60.12\pm11.55$  (p>0.05).

Twenty-two (31%) of the patients had metastatic RCC and 50 of the patients (69%) had non-metastatic RCC.

Tuble 1					
	Neutrophil count	Monocyte count	Lymphocyte count*	Leukocyte count	Thrombocyte Count*

Table 1 Comparison of patients' blood parameter values between metastatic and pon-metastatic groups

					Count*
Non-metastatic	$5.73 \pm 3.36$	0.54±0.13	2.23±0.83	8.75±3.64	263.19±83.08
Metastatic	$5.80 \pm 2.04$	$0.65 \pm 0.39$	$1.52 \pm 0.57$	8.29±2.16	$357.45 \pm 124.47$
*: <i>p</i> <0.01					

 
 Table 2
 Comparison of SIRI and SII metastatic and nonmetastatic groups from the blood parameter values of the patients

	SIRI*	SII**
Non-metastatic	$1.75 \pm 1.92$	$869.59 \pm 1018.95$
Metastatic	3.12±4.22	1537.80±916.82
*: <i>p</i> <0.05		

\*\*:*p*<0.001

The mean body mass index (BMI) of the patients was  $28.10\pm6.18$ . The BMI was  $30.38\pm9.27$  in women and the mean BMI in men was  $27.10\pm3.93$  (p=0.046). While the mean BMI of the metastatic patients was  $30.17\pm10.10$ , it was  $27.49\pm4.41$  in non-metastatic patients (p>0.05).

At least 1 comorbid disease was present in 66% of the patients. According to the frequency of comorbid diseases of the patients, 44% had hypertension, 20% had diabetes mellitus, 17% had cardiovascular disease, 9% had chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and 10% had other diseases. Tumors were located unilaterally in all patients included in the study, and right and left locations (57% right and 43% left) had similar rates (p=0.239).

The diagnosis was made by percutaneous renal biopsy in 8 of the patients (11%), while the diagnosis was made by surgical excision (radical nephrectomy/partial nephrectomy) in 64 cases (89%). As the surgical approach in surgical excision, laparoscopic surgery was used in 89% (57 patients) and open surgery in 11% (7 patients). Due to the fact that there were signs of metastases in the imaging performed at the time of diagnosis, a biopsy was performed on these 8 patients for verification purposes and they were diagnosed with RCC as a result of biopsy. In addition, only two patients were diagnosed with RCC by biopsy of their metastatic mass. Radical total nephrectomy was performed in 38% of patients (n=24) and partial nephrectomy was performed in 62% of the remaining (n=40) patients. Renal ischemia was performed in 75% of the patients who underwent partial nephrectomy, and the remaining 25% did not. Simultaneous lymph node dissection was performed in 9% (6/64) of the patients who underwent surgical excision. Surgical complication developed as pleural injury in 1.5% of the patients.

The histological subtypes of RCC specimens in our study consisted of 72% clear cell, 17% chromophobe cell, 7% papillary type and 4% other subtypes. T stages of the patients in our study consisted of 29% pT1a, 33% pT1b, 6% pT2a and 32% pT3a.

When the metastatic and non-metastatic groups were compared, statistically significant differences were observed between the two groups in terms of lymphocyte and platelet counts (p<0.01) (Table 1).

When the metastatic and non-metastatic groups were compared, statistically significant differences were observed between the two groups in terms of SIRI and SII values (p<0.05 for SIRI, p<0.001 for SII) (Table 2.)

Median SIRI values for non-metastatic and metastatic groups were 1.26 and 2.1, respectively (mean±standard deviation  $1.76\pm1.9$  and  $3.12\pm4.22$  respectively (p<0.05). Median SII values for non-metastatic and metastatic groups were 566 and 1434, respectively (mean±standard deviation  $870\pm1019$  and  $1537\pm917$  respectively (p<0.001).

The area under the curve in metastatic patients was 0.809 for SII and 0.737 for SIRI. The ROC curve is shown in the Fig. 1. The various cut-off values, specificity, and sensitivity are shown in the Table 3.

## Discussion

About one-third of patients present with metastatic RCC at the time of presentation. RCC is one of the cancers in which the immune system is most activated [12]. In order to better evaluate the outcomes of the patients, it is necessary to identify some predictive factors for reliable prognostic and metastasis prediction. In this study, thrombocyte, lymphocyte, SIRI and SII were found to be independent predictive factors in predicting metastasis from the blood parameters of the patients at the time of admission.

Increasing evidence suggests a complex interaction between leukocytes and various types of cancer, including RCC. SIRI, which is an indicator of inflammation and mainly based on peripheral neutrophil, lymphocyte and monocyte counts, was first suggested to be a reliable prognostic factor in a study conducted by Qi et al. in 2016 including 177 patients with pancreatic cancer [13].

Nebojsa et al. showed that SIRI is an independent prognostic factor for the presence of lymphovascular invasion (LVI) in a study of 491 patients who underwent cystectomy due to BC [14]. This study suggests to us that a high SIRI will contribute metastasis through LVI. Therefore this situation can also be adapted to our study.

Hu et al. conducted a study of patients with nonmetastatic RCC involving 646 patients. Multivariate analysis conducted in this study has shown that SII is an



Fig. 1 The area under the curve in metastatic patients was 0.809 for SII and 0.737 for SIRI. The ROC curve is shown in the graph

independent predictor of overall survival (OS) and cancer-specific survival (CSS). In addition, it was found that SII was associated with lymphovascular invasion, positive lymph node and more aggressive phenoptype [10]. We did not compare SII of phenotypes in our study.

Zhang et al. conducted a retrospective study on 209 BC patients who underwent radical cystectomy. In this study, it was found that SII is an independent predictor for overall survival. In addition, SII was an accurate prognostic marker than neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet/lymphocyte ratio (PLR) and C-reactive protein/ albumin ratio [15]. In another study, Jan et al. showed that SII was superior to NLR, PLR and monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio (MLR) for prognostic factor in patients with upper urinary tract cancer [16].

In the meta-analysis of patients with urological cancer, which included 14 studies with 3744 patients, it was shown that high SII value is associated with poor prognosis [9]. On the other hand, there is no study in the literature investigating the effectiveness of inflammation biomarkers in predicting metastasis in patients with RCC. In this sense, we hope that our study will contribute to the literature.

Aktepe et al., in a retrospective review of the data of 150 people with metastatic RCC who received tyrosine kinase inhibitor, showed that the PLR was superior to the NLR in terms of assessing OS [17]. In our study, when compared with the non-metastatic group, especially high platelet and low lymphocyte levels were observed in the metastatic group. In this case, it is seen that the rate of PLR is higher in the metastatic group. Therefore, high platelet count and low lymphocyte count can guide us about the risk of metastasis.

In a study by Takuya et al., in which the records of 268 nephrectomized patients were examined, it was shown that reactive thrombocytosis in renal cell carcinomas developed due to hypercytocinemia. It has also been reported that the presence of IL-6 and high CRP in the liver triggers thrombocytosis and IL-6 induces differentiation from megakaryocytes to platelets and leads to an abnormal inflammatory response. In addition, it has been stated that the tumor itself triggers thrombocytosis. It has been reported that thrombocytosis and tumor progression may also be a marker [18]. When the platelet counts were compared in our study, a statistically significant difference was observed between the metastatic and non-metastatic groups, and it is thought that it may contribute to the prediction of metastasis.

Zheng et al. investigated the relationship of SIRI with lymph node metastasis in patients with upper system urothelial carcinoma who underwent radical nephrectomy between 2003 and 2016. SIRI value was found to be associated with lymphovascular invasion and lymph node metastasis [19]. Chen et al. also investigated the association of SIRI with 3-year and 5-year survival and prognosis in clear cell RCC. They found that other inflammatory parameters, NLR, were statistically more significant than PLR values in both 3-year and 5-year follow-up [20]. In

Table 3	Various	cut-off va	lues,	specificities,	and	sensitivities	of
SIRI and	SII for ind	dication o	of met	astasis			

	Metastasis (+)≥if;	Sensitivity	1 -
			Speci- ficity
SIRI			
	1.2565	0.950	0.500
	1.2966	0.950	0.481
	1.3039	0.900	0.481
	1.3165	0.900	0.462
	1.3538	0.850	0.442
	1.3669	0.800	0.442
	1.3844	0.750	0.442
	1.4112	0.750	0.423
	1.5084	0.700	0.385
	1.5434	0.650	0.385
	1.5561	0.650	0.365
	1.6560	0.600	0.308
	1.7110	0.550	0.308
	1.7987	0.550	0.288
	2.0584	0.500	0.250
SII			
	504.1073	0.950	0.654
	509.4605	0.950	0.635
	516.0549	0.950	0.615
	540.6602	0.900	0.558
	546.6142	0.900	0.538
	673.8309	0.850	0.365
	701.1920	0.800	0.365
	707.2042	0.800	0.346
	744.0929	0.750	0.308
	760.6262	0.750	0.288
	949.7018	0.650	0.231
	1039.7418	0.650	0.212
	1136.2209	0.600	0.173
	1142.0997	0.600	0.154
	1200.1863	0.550	0.135

our study, we have not done any research on the comparison of SIRI, NLR and PLR values.

According to the results of the meta-analysis, which included 30 retrospective studies published between 2016 and 2020, although it was found to be associated with SIRI value, TNM stage and lymphovascular invasion, its relationship with metastasis was not evaluated. This meta-analysis study includes cohort studies of different numbers of gastrointestinal cancers, lung cancer, cervical cancer, breast cancer, urological cancer and soft tissue cancers [21]. Our study shows that SIRI can be a parameter that can be used to predict metastasis.

High SII value was found to be associated with advanced TNM stage and poor prognosis [22]. In our study, it was shown that the level of SII is associated with the risk of metastasis. This study has several limitations. Our study involves small number of patients. More comprehensive results and possible mechanisms can be revealed by evaluating the results of more patients that can be done in this regard. First, carrying out our study with a larger group and in a wider period will contribute more to the results.

Obtaining the data of patients in a single center is one of the factors that restrict the study. A study involving multicenter patients is needed.

Some of the diagnoses of metastases established by imaging methods that is not confirmed by biopsy is one of the factor that restricted this study. The diagnoses of metastases have been performed by biopsy in 32% (7/22) and radiologically 68% (15/22). However, the diagnoses performed radiologically were clear due to properties of cross-sectional imaging including MRI and CT both of which had been proved to have high sensitivity and specificity in cases with aforementioned properties [23].

Incorporating SIRI and SII into routine assessments could provide nuanced prognostic insights, aiding clinicians in identifying patients at an elevated risk of metastatic progression. The integration of these markers may guide personalized treatment strategies, allowing for interventions tailored to an individual's inflammatory profile. SIRI and SII could serve as valuable tools for monitoring treatment responses dynamically, offering insights into the effectiveness of specific therapeutic approaches. Combining these markers with emerging technologies, such as radiomics and genomics, may offer a more comprehensive understanding of RCC. The combination of radiomics features and genomics data has achieved good results [24]. Considering the intrinsic heterogeneity of renal lesions, the integration of both radiogenomics and hematological markers could potentially provide a more comprehensive risk stratification for RCC patients. This collaborative approach has the potential to refine predictive models for RCC metastases, improving the accuracy of prognostic assessments and guiding clinical decision-making.

As a result of our study, inflammation parameters obtained from venous blood samples taken from patients can be used to predict metastasis. Low lymphocyte, high platelet count, increased SIRI and SII values indicate a high probability of metastasis. We think that it would be beneficial to conduct more comprehensive studies based on repeated measurement results by evaluating the results of more patients.

### Conclusions

According to the results of this study, it is seen that the risk of metastasis may be higher in patients with RCC who have high SIRI and SII values, low lymphocyte count and increased platelet count, which are among the inflammatory parameters obtained from the venous blood sample at the time of diagnosis of patients with RCC. This technique is cheap and accessible.

High SIRI, SII, neutrophils and low lymphocytes at the time of diagnosis alert us in terms of metastasis research. These laboratory tests may show us the way for early recognition of metastasis in the future. We hope that laboratory tests will be able to show whether imaging is necessary for the diagnosis of metastasis of RCC in the future. A predictive model can be developed using these tests in the future. Therefore, early recognition of metastasis may be useful in planning treatment and follow up.

#### Abbreviations

AUC	Area Under the Curve
BMI	Body Mass Index
BC	Bladder Cancer
CBC	Complete Blood Count
CT	Computed Tomography
FGF-2	Fibroblast Growth Factor-2
G-CSF	Granulocyte Colony Stimulating Factor
GM-CSF	Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony Stimulating Factor
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
MSKCC	Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center
NLR	Neutrophil/Lymphocyte Ratio
NF-Kb	Nuclear Factor kappa B
OS	Overall Survival
PLR	Platelet/Lymphocyte Ratio
RCC	Renal Cell Carcinoma
SII	Systemic Inflammatory Index
SIRI	Systemic Inflammatory Response Index
STAT-3	Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3
TME	Tumor Microenviroment
TNF	Tumor Necrosis Factor
VEGF	Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor

#### Author contributions

All authors contributed to the study of conception and design. HK coordinated and managed all parts of the study. TE carried out the literature search. All authors conducted data collection and performed preliminary data preparations. HK conducted data analyses and all the authors contributed to the interpretation of data. EA wrote the draft of the paper and all authors provided substantive feedback on the paper and contributed to the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

None applicable.

#### Data availability

All data is available from corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Approved by the Health Sciences University Istanbul Health Practice and Research Center, Clinical Research and Ethics Committee (22.07.2022/ Desicion Number: 235). All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 6 July 2023 / Accepted: 1 January 2024 Published online: 13 January 2024

#### References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(6):394–424. https:// doi.org/10.3322/caac.21492. Epub 2018 Sep 12. Erratum in: CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70(4):313. PMID: 30207593.
- Moch H, Amin MB, Berney DM, Compérat EM, Gill AJ, Hartmann A, Menon S, Raspollini MR, Rubin MA, Srigley JR, Hoon Tan P, Tickoo SK, Tsuzuki T, Turajlic S, Cree I, Netto GJ. The 2022 World Health Organization classification of tumours of the urinary system and male genital organs-part A: renal, penile, and testicular tumours. Eur Urol. 2022;82(5):458–468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. eururo.2022.06.016. Epub 2022 Jul 16. PMID: 35853783.
- An J, Packiam VT, Chennamadhavuni A, Richards J, Jain J, Mott SL, Garje R. Patient characteristics and survival outcomes of non-metastatic, nonclear cell renal cell carcinoma. Front Oncol. 2022;11:786307. https://doi. org/10.3389/fonc.2021.786307. PMID: 35083144; PMCID: PMC8786111.
- Capitanio U, Montorsi F. Renal cancer. Lancet. 2016;387(10021):894–906. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00046-X. Epub 2015 Aug 25. PMID: 26318520.
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell. 2011;144(5):646–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013. PMID: 21376230.
- Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. Cell. 2010;140(6):883–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.025. PMID: 20303878; PMCID: PMC2866629.
- Zhang Y, Narayanan SP, Mannan R, Raskind G, Wang X, Vats P, Su F, Hosseini N, Cao X, Kumar-Sinha C, Ellison SJ, Giordano TJ, Morgan TM, Pitchiaya S, Alva A, Mehra R, Cieslik M, Dhanasekaran SM, Chinnaiyan AM. Single-cell analyses of renal cell cancers reveal insights into tumor microenvironment, cell of origin, and therapy response. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118(24):e2103240118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2103240118. PMID: 34099557; PMCID: PMC8214680.
- Greten FR, Grivennikov SI. Inflammation and cancer: triggers, mechanisms, and consequences. Immunity. 2019;51(1):27–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. immuni.2019.06.025. PMID: 31315034; PMCID: PMC6831096.
- Huang Y, Gao Y, Wu Y, Lin H. Prognostic value of systemic immune-inflammation index in patients with urologic cancers: a meta-analysis. Cancer Cell Int. 2020;20:499. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-020-01590-4. PMID: 33061851; PMCID: PMC7552553.
- Hu X, Shao YX, Yang ZQ, Dou WC, Xiong SC, Li X. Preoperative systemic immune-inflammation index predicts prognosis of patients with nonmetastatic renal cell carcinoma: a propensity score-matched analysis. Cancer Cell Int. 2020;20:222. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-020-01320-w. PMID: 32523427; PMCID: PMC7281921.
- Zapała Ł, Ślusarczyk A, Garbas K, Mielczarek Ł, Ślusarczyk C, Zapała P, Wróbel A, Radziszewski P. Complete blood count-derived inflammatory markers and survival in patients with localized renal cell cancer treated with partial or radical nephrectomy: a retrospective single-tertiary-center study. Front Biosci (Schol Ed). 2022;14(1):5. https://doi.org/10.31083/j.fbs1401005. PMID: 35320916.
- ; Vuong Lynda, Kotecha Ritesh R, Voss Martin H, Hakimi A, Ari. (2019). Tumor microenvironment dynamics in clear-cell renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Discovery, (), candisc;2159–8290.CD-19-0499v1–. https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-0499
- Qi Q, Zhuang L, Shen Y, Geng Y, Yu S et al. A novel systemic inflammation response index (SIRI) for predicting the survival of patients with pancreatic cancer after chemotherapy. Cancer. 2016;122(14):2158–2167. https://doi. org/10.1002/cncr.30057
- Prijovic N, Acimovic M, Santric V, Stankovic B, Nikic P, Vukovic I, Soldatovic I, Nale D, Kovacevic L, Nale P, Marinkovic A, Babic U. Predictive value of inflammatory and nutritional indexes in the pathology of bladder cancer patients treated with radical cystectomy. Curr Oncol. 2023;30(3):2582–2597. https:// doi.org/10.3390/curroncol30030197. PMID: 36975410; PMCID: PMC10047817.
- Zhang W, Wang R, Ma W, Wu Y, Maskey N, Guo Y, Liu J, Mao S, Zhang J, Yao X, Liu Y. Systemic immune-inflammation index predicts prognosis of bladder cancer patients after radical cystectomy. Ann Transl Med. 2019;7(18):431.

https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2019.09.02. PMID: 31700867; PMCID: PMC6803204.

- Jan HC, Yang WH, Ou CH. Combination of the poreoperative systemic immune-infammation index and monocyte-lymphocyte ratio as a novel prognostic factor in patients with upper-tract urothelial carcinoma. Ann Surg Oncol. 2019;26(2):669–84.
- Aktepe OH, Güner G, Güven DC, Şahin TK, Ardıç FS. Yüce D ve ark. The platelet to lymphocyte ratio predicts overall survival better than the neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Turk J Med Sci. 2021;51(2):757–765. https://doi.org/10.3906/sag-2009-75. PMID: 33350295; PMCID: PMC8203127.
- Tsujino T, Komura K, Ichihashi A, Tsutsumi T, Matsunaga T, Yoshikawa Y, et al. The combination of preoperative platelet count and neutrophil lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic indicator. Localized Ren cell Carcinoma Oncotarget. 2017;8(66):110311–25. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.22688. PMID: 29299149; PMCID: PMC5746384.
- 19. Zheng Y, Chen Y, Chen J, Chen W, Pan Y, Bao L, et al. Combination of systemic inflammation response index and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio as a novel prognostic marker of upper tract urothelial carcinoma after radical nephroureterectomy. Front Oncol. 2019;9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00914
- Chen Z, Wang K, Lu H, Xue D, Fan M, Zhuang Q et al. Systemic inflammation response index predicts prognosis in patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma: a propensity score-matched analysis. Cancer Manag Res. 2019;11:909– 919. https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S186976. PMID: 30697081; PMCID: chen PMC6342149.

- Zhou Q, Su S, You W, Wang T, Ren T, Zhu L. Systemic inflammation response index as a prognostic marker in cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 38 cohorts. Dose Response. 2021;19(4):15593258211064744. https://doi.org/10.1177/15593258211064744. PMID: 34987341; PMCID:
- 22. Ozbek E, Besiroglu H, Ozer K, Horsanali MO, Gorgel SN. Systemic immune inflammation index is a promising non-invasive marker for the prognosis of the patients with localized renal cell carcinoma. Int Urol Nephrol. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11255-020-02440-y
- Hallscheidt P, Stolte E, Roeren T. The staging of renal cell carcinomas in MRI and CT-a prospective histologically controlled study. Rofo Fortschr Geb Rontgenstr Neuen Bidgeb Verfahr. 1998;168(2):165–70.
- Ferro M, Musi G, Marchioni M, Maggi M, Veccia A, Del Giudice F, Barone B, Crocetto F, Lasorsa F, Antonelli A, Schips L, Autorino R, Busetto GM, Terracciano D, Lucarelli G, Tataru OS. Radiogenomics in renal cancer management-current evidence and future prospects. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(5):4615. https://doi. org/10.3390/ijms24054615. PMID: 36902045; PMCID: PMC10003020.

## **Publisher's Note**

PMC8689621

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.